

Week V: Simple Quantified Modal Logic

Instructor: Christopher James Masterman (email: c.j.masterman@ifikk.uio.no)

[For feedback, hand in your answers at my pigeon hole on 6th floor of GM by 12pm on Thursday (9th March). Write your name clearly on anything you submit.]

1. Specify, in as much detail as possible, the language of Lower Predicate Calculus, \mathcal{L}_\forall
2. Specify, again in as much detail as possible, the axioms and primitive inference rules of the Lower Predicate Calculus. With this in mind, define the system $\text{LPC} + S$, where S is a normal modal system.

3. Show the following, where S is any normal modal system and α, β are wff of \mathcal{L}_\forall .

$$\vdash_{(\text{LPC}+S)} \forall x L(\alpha \supset \beta) \supset L(\exists x \alpha \supset \exists x \beta).$$

4. What is the language of the Modal Lower Predicate Calculus, \mathcal{L}_\forall^M ? Specify, in as much detail as possible, a model-theoretic semantics for \mathcal{L}_\forall^M . Then show how we can semantically define the logics $\text{LPC} + S$, for the cases where S is K , T , S4 , B , or S5 .

5. Show that none of the following are theorems of $\text{LPC} + \text{S5}$, i.e., specify a model in which, at some world, and under some assignment, the following fail to hold:

(a) $\exists x \sim L\phi x \supset M\forall x \sim \phi x$

(b) $(L\exists x \phi x \wedge \forall x M\psi x) \supset M\exists x (\phi x \wedge \psi x)$

(c) $\forall x (L\phi x \vee L\sim \phi x) \vee \forall x (M\phi x \wedge M\sim \phi x)$

6. What is the Barcan Formula (BF)? Does it have problematic instances? Is it also the case that ' $L\exists x \phi x \supset \exists x L\phi x$ ' is a theorem of $\text{LPC} + S$, for any normal modal system S ?